IfW

PTO/SB/21 (04-07)

P10/SB/21 (04-07)
Approved for use through 09/30/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

| Application Number | Application N **Application Number** 10/581,829 Filing Date TRANSMITTAL June 2, 2006 First Named Inventor **FORM** Olivier Bezencon Art Unit 1609 **Examiner Name** Murray, Jefrey H. (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number AC-05-US Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Petition (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information After Final **Provisional Application** Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify Terminal Disclaimer **Extension of Time Request** below): - Return-receipt postcard Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Remarks Document(s) Response to Restriction Requirement (3 pages) Reply to Missing Parts/

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Hoxie & Associates LLC Signature Printed name Thomas Hoxie Date Reg. No. June 5, 2007 32,993

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature

Typed or printed name

Incomplete Application

Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

Denise Cooper

Date June 5, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Bezencon, et al.)	Confirmation No: 7180
Serial No.:	10/581,829)	Group Art Unit: 1609
Filed:	06/02/2006)	Examiner: MURRAY, J.H.
For:	NOVEL DIAZABICYCLONONENE DERIVATIVES AND USE)	

Response to Restriction Requirement

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.143, Applicants respond to the Restriction Requirement mailed March 29, 2007.

Applicants respectfully traverse. Applicants refer the Examiner to M.P.E.P. § 803, which sets forth the criteria and guidelines for Examiners to follow in making proper requirements for restriction. The M.P.E.P. instructs the Examiner that if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Office must examine it on the merits M.P.E.P. § 803. The Examiner has not explained why the search would entail a serious burden, particularly where the International Search has already been carried out by the EPO.

It would seem that an eight-way restriction requirement would impose a much greater burden on the Office's resources, not to mention a much greater burden and expense for the Applicants.

As this application is the national phase of a PCT application, it is not required that the claims be drawn to a single invention; only that the claims be linked by a single general inventive concept. *See* PCT Rule 13; 37 C.F.R. §1.475; M.P.E.P. 1850 and 1893.03(d). Here, as the EPO previously found, the claims all involve compounds falling within the general scope of formula I. Restriction as required by the Examiner is not proper in this case.

We respectfully submit that the Examiner is incorrect in suggesting that compounds of formula I are suggested or disclosed in Kuttab, et al., cited by the Examiner. The Examiner appears to have focused on a single embodiment of formula I, wherein the core is a 1,2,3,6 tetrahydropyridine, but has not observed that the reference cited does not disclose the other substituents which characterize formula I. For example, Kuttab, et al. do not disclose the aryl / heteroaryl side chains at "-T-Q-M" and at "-V-U" (or indeed any substutents at all in those positions).

Regarding the Groups identified by the Examiner, it is assumed that "W" is meant in place of "Y". Confirmation is requested. Applicants also propose that the scopes could be better related to the examples if the proviso "L is not H" in Group II were shifted to Group III.

In the event that the Examiner maintains the Restriction Requirement, and subject to the Examiner's agreement to these clarifications to the scopes, and reserving all rights, including the right to reinstatement or rejoinder in the event the restriction requirement is withdrawn or a generic claim is allowed, and/or the right to pursue any non-elected inventions in divisional applications, Applicants provisionally **restrict** to Group II as clarified:

II. The compound of composition of general formua I, according to claims 1-11, wherein z=1, m=1 and n=0, X and W are -CH-

and elect as species the compound of Example 1.

Application of Bezencon, et al U.S.S.N. 10/581,829 Attorney Docket No. AC-05

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement and a speedy allowance of the claims submitted is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone in the event of any questions.

Dated: June 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas Hoxie

Reg. No. 32,993

HOXIE & ASSOCIATES LLC 75 Main Street, Suite 301 Millburn, NJ 07041 (973) 912-5232